



22

**PATENT APPLICATION**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of

Docket No: Q61862

Pierre DUPUY

Appln. No.: 09/715,171

Group Art Unit: 2686

Confirmation No.: 6878

Examiner: Khawar IQBAL

Filed: November 20, 2000

For: A METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR TRANSMITTING DIGITAL DATA

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111**

**MAIL STOP AMENDMENT**

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated March 14, 2005, please consider the remarks as submitted herewith on the accompanying pages.

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-16 presently are pending in the application. Claims 1-2, 4-7, 9-13 and 15 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being unpatentable by Kaaresoja (U.S. Patent No. 6,556,573). Claims 3, 8, 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaaresoja (U.S. Patent No. 6,556,573) and further in view of Boetzel (U.S. Patent No. 6,377,541).

In the Amendment filed November 22, 2004, Applicant argued that Kaaresoja does not teach or suggest a transmission channel interconnecting first and second relays which has “a limited data rate associated with transmission in circuit mode.” In the example disclosed in the